Inflation and the Very Early Universe

Georges Obied University of Oxford

Morning of Theoretical Physics 25 Feb. 2023





Inflation and the Very Early Universe

Georges Obied University of Oxford

Morning of Theoretical Physics 25 Feb. 2023





Motivation and Overview



Motivation and Overview

- **Inflation:** a period of accelerated expansion in the early universe. 0
- It explains some puzzling observations about our universe





[Guth '87, Linde '87, Albrecht, Steinhardt '87]



The Cosmic Microwave Background

How are 'far away' points on the CMB at the same temperature? There wasn't enough time to comminucate any signal between them!

see for e.g.: [Penzias, Wilson '65, COBE, WMAP, Planck]

$- L \cdot / \Lambda$

The question we want to answer is: How is the CMB so uniform?





Outline

- The expanding universe
- The particle horizon
- The horizon problem
- The inflationary paradigm
- Quantum Perturbations (very briefly)
- Conclusion





The expanding universe

• In Special Relativity, the 'interval' between two points is:

• $ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$



• In cosmology, we describe an expanding universe using:

•
$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz)^2$$





The expanding universe

- The evolution of a(t) is dictated by the contents of the universe.
- From Einstein's general relativity, we get the differential equation (called the first Friedmann equation):

$$H^2 = \left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho(a)$$

where $\rho(a)$ is the energy density and *H* is called the Hubble rate.





Alexander Friedmann



The expanding universe: matter

matter (i.e. massive particles at rest)

Let the density today be ρ_0

Then the density at a different time with scale factor *a* is:

 $\rho(a) = \rho_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)$



Consider a box with side length equal to one coordinate unit filled with



The expanding universe: radiation

particles like photons) Let the density today be ρ_0

Then the density at a different time with scale factor *a* is:

$$\rho(a) = \rho_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)$$
$$= \rho_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^4$$



The expanding universe: Dark Energy

• For a box 'filled' with Dark Energy

Let the density today be ρ_0

Then the density at a different time with scale factor *a* is:

$$\rho(a) = \rho_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^0 = \rho_0$$





Our expanding universe

• In summary, we can write

$$\rho(a) = \rho_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)$$

where *w* takes on different values for matter, radiation and dark energy:

$$w_{\rm m} = 0$$
 ; $w_{\rm r} = \frac{1}{3}$

In our Universe, we have multiple components:

$$\rho_{\text{tot}}(a) = \rho_{\text{m},0} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 + \rho_{\text{r},0} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^4 + \rho_{\text{de},0}$$

3(1+w)

;
$$w_{de} = -1$$





Toy expanding universes

• Given $\rho(a)$, we can now get some intuition by solving the Friedmann equation with one component in the energy density:

$$\frac{\dot{a}(t)}{a(t)} = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3}}\rho_0 \left(\frac{a_0}{a(t)}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}(1+w)} =$$

$$\int \left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}(1+3w)} d\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right) = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_0}.$$

- $\implies a(t) \propto \begin{cases} t^{2/3} & \text{for matter} \\ t^{1/2} & \text{for radiation} \end{cases}$
 - e^{Ht} for Dark Energy



Our expanding universe

• In our universe, we have a combination of matter, radiation, and Dark Energy:

$$\rho_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{\text{m},0} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 + \rho_{\text{r},0} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^4 + \rho_{\text{de},0}$$

	10 ⁰	
	10 ⁻¹	
	10 ⁻²	
a(t)	10 ⁻³	-
	10 ⁻⁴	-
	10 ⁻⁵	-
	10 ⁻⁶	A ROAD A



- Our Universe
- Radiation -
- Matter -



Our expanding universe

• In our universe, we have a combination of matter, radiation, and Dark Energy:

$$\rho_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{\text{m},0} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 + \rho_{\text{r},0} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^4 + \rho_{\text{de},0}$$

	10 ⁰	
	10 ⁻¹	
	10 ⁻²	
a(t)	10 ⁻³	-
	10 ⁻⁴	-
	10 ⁻⁵	-
	10 ⁻⁶	A ROAD A





The expanding universe

In our universe, we have a combination of matter, radiation, and Dark Energy:

$$\rho_{\text{tot}} = \rho_{\text{m},0} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^3 + \rho_{\text{r},0} \left(\frac{a_0}{a}\right)^4 + \rho_{\text{de},0}$$

This is responsible for latetime cosmic acceleration but plays no role in the early universe Our Universe

10⁰

10^{-^}

 10^{-2}

 10^{-4}

 10^{-5}

 $(t) a^{-3}$

- Radiation
- Matter

Late-time acceleration

 $a(t) \propto t^{2/3}$

 $a(t) \propto t^{1/2}$

$$10^{-6} 10^{-8} 10^{-6} 10^{-4} 10^{-2} 10^{-6} t [Gyrs]$$



The particle horizon

$$D(t_f) = a(t_f) \int_0^{t_f} \frac{cdt}{a(t)} = ca(t_f)$$

Multiply by scale factor to get physical Distance

Coordinate distance

 $w_{\rm r} = 1/3$).

• This means that D(a) is dominated by $1/\dot{a}$ in the late universe.

• Largest distance that light could have travelled in the age of the universe:

$$\int_{0}^{a(t_{f})} \frac{da}{a\dot{a}} \implies D(a) = ca \int_{0}^{a} \frac{d\tilde{a}}{\tilde{a}} \frac{1}{\dot{\tilde{a}}}$$

• Notice that $1/\dot{a}$ is always increasing for 'normal' substances ($w_m = 0$ or



The horizon

$$D(a) = a \int_{0}^{a} \frac{d\tilde{a}}{\tilde{a}} \frac{1}{\tilde{a}}$$

 Since *à*⁻¹ is always increasing, the most important contributions to *D*(*a*) come from late times:

	r t	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	へ? 10 ⁴	-
Distance [Mpc]	10 ²	-
	10 ⁰	
	10 ⁻²	-
	10 ⁻⁴	-
	10 ⁻⁶ 10	-6



a

The horizon

$$D(a) = a \int_{0}^{a} \frac{d\tilde{a}}{\tilde{a}} \frac{1}{\tilde{a}}$$

 Since *à*⁻¹ is always increasing, the most important contributions to *D*(*a*) come from late times:

	4	0/~0.
	Λ? 10 ⁴ Γ	
	10 ²	
Mpc	10 ⁰	
Distance	10 ⁻²	ŗ
	10 ⁻⁴	
	10 ⁻⁶	-6



The horizon problem

- At the time of last scattering the horizon size was about 0.3 Mpc 0
- Our (angular diameter) distance to the last scattering surface is about 0 13 Mpc
- The angle subtended by a causally connected patch is then:

$$\theta \approx \frac{0.3}{13}$$
 rad ≈ 0.02

• The number of causally disconnected patches on the CMB sky is then

$$N \approx \frac{4\pi}{0.023^2} \sim 240$$

3 rad

Earth

13 Mbc

Last scattering surface

000



• The Den Stadium which has about 20000 seats.







• The Den Stadium which has about 20000 seats.





Francesco



Andy

Image Credit: Groundhopper Soccer Guides



• The Den Stadium which has about 20000 seats.







• The Den Stadium which has about 20000 seats.

Come on guys!

Image Credit: Groundhopper Soccer Guides







Back to the CMB

- Yet they all chose to go to the same temperature T = 2.7K
- One should be suspicious that the Hot Big Bang model vastly underestimates the true size of the horizon
- In other words, we need to find a way to make sure that there are no causally disconnected patches in the CMB. This is what inflation does!

According to the Hot Big Bang model, there are 20k causally disconnected patches (i.e. patches that haven't communicated at any time in the past).



Inflationary solution

- cosmology that is very well constrained by data.
- from early times
- This happens if $1/\dot{a}$ decreased in the past 0
- This happens in an accelerating $\ddot{a} > 0$ universe!



• This means that the horizon is mostly what we would estimate from late time

• The horizon however can be much bigger if it received large contributions



Inflationary solution







What does this do to the horizon?



a

 \dot{a}^{-1} without inflation D(a) without inflation \dot{a}^{-1} with inflation D(a) with inflation



What does this do to the horizon?



Adding a period of acceleration expansion (inflation) in the early universe, we see that the horizon can be much bigger than what the Hot Big Bang predicts.



 \mathcal{A}

---- D(a) with inflation





- Recall that the ground state of the quantum simple harmonic oscillator (SHO) has a Gaussian wavefunction
- Even in the ground state, the SHO is not localized at one point and has fluctuations:
 - $\langle 0 | \hat{x}^2 | 0 \rangle \neq 0$
- So if we measure the position of the oscillating particle, we would typically get non-zero values.





-V(x)

— The wavefunction



In the simplest models of inflation, the energy density to drive the expansion is due to a scalar field:

$$\phi(t, \vec{x}) = \bar{\phi}(t) + \delta\phi(t, \vec{x})$$

• If we Fourier transform the position variable in the fluctuation to momentum space *k*, then each *k*-mode obeys an equation similar to that of the SHO:

$$\delta \phi_k'' + \omega(t,k)^2 \delta \phi_k =$$

• Just like the SHO, quantum mechanics implies that these k -modes $\delta \phi_k(t)$ are typically non-zero when measured!



 \vec{z})/a(t)



()







Conclusion

- into causal contact.
- As a bonus, inflation can also seed fluctuations that become the galaxies, planets and other structures we see around us.
- important open problem in theoretical and observational cosmology.

• We discussed a puzzle (called the horizon problem) that stems from observing a uniform CMB despite predictions of the standard Big Bang picture. The latter imply that the CMB is made up of thousands of causally disconnected patches.

• We saw that inflation can remedy this issue by allowing these patches to come

However, pinning down the microscopic realization of inflation remains an



Thank you for your attention!

